arXiv:1403.5794v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 23 Mar 2014

Transition to Absolute Instability for (*not so*) Dummies

Antonio Barletta

Department of Industrial Engineering
Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna
Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna (Italy)
antonio.barletta@unibo.it

Leonardo Santos de Brito Alves

Universidade Federal Fluminense
Centro Tecnológico – Escola de Engenharia
Rua Passo da Pátria, 156, bloco E, sala 216
São Domingos, 24210-240 – Niteroi, RJ (Brazil)
leonardo.alves@mec.uff.br

March 25, 2014

Foreword

These notes are intended as an elementary introduction to the concept of absolute instability. The transition from convective instability to absolute instability is an important issue when the stability of stationary flow solutions is investigated. The arguments here described were first developed in the framework of plasma physics and later applied to the hydrodynamics of mixing layers and shear flows. Far from being a comprehensive analysis of this complicated subject, the aim of these notes is just to sketch a rudimentary and quite elementary ground for physicists or engineers which have a familiarity with the basic features of linear stability in fluid dynamics, but are new to the concept of absolute instability.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Wave packets and their time evolution **2**

2.1 Stationary waves, x–space and k–space 3

2.2 Wave packets 4

2.3 Group velocity and spreading 4

3 Convective to absolute instability **5**

3.1 Temporal and spatial analyses of stability 5
3.2 Convective versus absolute nature of instability 6
3.3 Rays and group velocity 7
3.4 Absolute instability and the spatial modes 7

4 A neat example: Burgers' equation **8**
4.1 The dispersion relation 9
4.2 Temporal modes 9
4.3 Spatial modes 10

5 Why spatial modes are necessary? **12**
5.1 General perturbations 12
5.2 From the Fourier integral to the Laplace integral 12

6 Conclusions **13**

1 Introduction

The idea behind the distinct concepts of convective instability and absolute instability dates back to some decades ago. The origin is in the sixties of the past century, within the area of plasma physics. Early studies on this topics are, among the others, the papers by Dysthe [1] and Gaster [2]. A description of the transition to absolute instability in fluid dynamics is also available in Chapter III, Section 28, of Landau & Lifschitz [3]. The modern approach to this subject was developed starting from the eighties [4–9].

This elementary description aims to focus a few simple physical aspects of the dualism convective instability versus absolute instability, and to fix some basic ideas on the method to detect the onset of both types of instability. The forthcoming analysis has no intent of being comprehensive or rigorous. For both these tasks, we warmly suggest the reader to study the exhaustive reviews by Huerre & Monkewitz [10], and by Huerre [11], as well as the textbooks by Criminale et al. [12] (see Section 4.4), by Drazin & Reid [13] (see Section 24), and by Charru [14] (see Chapter 3). Fresh new insights into this topic have been also proposed in the recent paper by Ó Náraigh & Spelt [15].

2 Wave packets and their time evolution

A perturbation of a stationary flow can be reasonably described as localised in space, at least at the beginning of its time evolution. This nature of the actual perturbations acting on a flow system is in contrast with the usual analysis of linear stability based on normal modes. In fact, normal modes are plane waves and, hence, they are definitely non-local as they are spread over all accessible space. Configurations of waves may exist where localisation emerges in the form of wave packets. The basic mathematical theory is the Fourier analysis.

2.1 Stationary waves, x–space and k–space

One may imagine a function $f(x)$ expressed as

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ g(k) e^{ikx}. \tag{2.1}$$

Eq. (2.1) defines $f(x)$ as a linear superposition of infinite standing plane waves with wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k$. Two neighbouring maxima of the real and imaginary parts of e^{ikx} are separated by a distance $2\pi/k$. Each wave is "weighted" by the coefficient function $g(k)$.
One may consider a Gaussian weight function

$$g(k) = e^{-\alpha(k-k_0)^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha > 0$. One can substitute Eq. (2.2) into Eq. (2.1) and evaluate the integral on the right hand side of Eq. (2.1),

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ e^{-\alpha(k-k_0)^2} e^{ikx} = e^{ik_0 x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\ e^{-\alpha k'^2} e^{ik'x} = e^{ik_0 x} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}\ e^{-x^2/(4\alpha)}. \tag{2.3}$$

On considering the square modulus of $g(k)$ and the square modulus of $f(x)$,

$$|g(k)|^2 = e^{-2\alpha(k-k_0)^2}, \qquad |f(x)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}\ e^{-x^2/(2\alpha)}, \tag{2.4}$$

one realizes that we have a Gaussian signal both in k–space and in x–space. We may easily check that, when $k = k_0 \pm \Delta k/2$, where $\Delta k = 2/\sqrt{2\alpha}$, the Gaussian signal in k–space drops to $1/e$ times its peak value. When $x = \pm\Delta x/2$, where $\Delta x = 2\sqrt{2\alpha}$, the Gaussian signal in x–space drops to $1/e$ times its peak value. If α becomes smaller and smaller, the signal in k–space increases its width Δk, while the signal in x–space decreases its width Δx. One may easily check that

$$\Delta k\ \Delta x = 4. \tag{2.5}$$

The precise numerical value of the product is not important. What is important is that the product $\Delta k\ \Delta x$ is finite and independent of α. A highly localised wave packet in k–space, i.e. one with a small Δk, means a poorly localised wave packet in x–space, i.e. one with a large Δx, and vice versa. It is not possible to reduce the width of the Gaussian signal both in k–space and in x–space.



Figure 1: Localization of wave packets in k–space and in x–space

2.2 Wave packets

Let us now consider a perturbation wave packet made up with the superposition of travelling plane waves. Then, Eq. (2.1) is to be replaced with

$$F(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ g(k) e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \tag{2.6}$$

where ω is the angular frequency, while k is the wave number. The simplest case is that with $\omega = ck$, where c is a constant. In this case, Eq. (2.6) describes a superposition of plane waves having a constant phase velocity c. Then, a comparison between Eq. (2.1) and Eq. (2.6), allows one to write

$$F(x,t) = f(x-ct). \tag{2.7}$$

The effect of the standing waves being replaced by travelling waves is just a rigid translational motion of the wave packet with a velocity c. No distortion of the wave packet is caused by the time evolution.

2.3 Group velocity and spreading

The linear stability analysis of flow systems leads generally to situations where ω is not simply proportional to k. We are therefore interested in assuming a general relationship $\omega = \omega(k)$. We may assume a wave packet strongly localised in k–space, with a marked peak at $k = k_0$, expressed by Eq. (2.2), namely a *quasi-monochromatic* wave packet.

The strong localisation in k–space suggests that one may express $\omega(k)$ as a Taylor expansion around $k = k_0$ truncated to lowest orders,

$$\omega(k) \approx \omega(k_0) + \left.\frac{d\omega}{dk}\right|_{k=k_0} (k-k_0) + \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{d^2\omega}{dk^2}\right|_{k=k_0} (k-k_0)^2 + \ \ldots\ . \tag{2.8}$$

We use the notations

$$v_g = \left.\frac{d\omega}{dk}\right|_{k=k_0}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{d^2\omega}{dk^2}\right|_{k=k_0}, \tag{2.9}$$

where v_g is called the *group velocity*.
We now substitute Eqs. (2.2) and (2.8) in Eq. (2.6) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(x,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk\ e^{-\alpha(k-k_0)^2} e^{i\left\{kx-[\omega(k_0)+(k-k_0)v_g+(k-k_0)^2\beta]t\right\}} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\ e^{-\alpha k'^2} e^{i\left\{(k_0+k')x-[\omega(k_0)+k'v_g+k'^2\beta]t\right\}} \\ &= e^{i[k_0x-\omega(k_0)t]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\ e^{-(\alpha+i\beta t)k'^2} e^{ik'(x-v_gt)} \\ &= e^{i[k_0x-\omega(k_0)t]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\ e^{-\alpha' k'^2} e^{ik'x'}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\alpha' = \alpha + i\beta t$ and $x' = x - v_g t$. We note that the integral appearing in Eq. (2.10) is just the same as that evaluated in Eq. (2.3), with α replaced by α' and x replaced by x'. Thus, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} F(x,t) &= e^{i[k_0x-\omega(k_0)t]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk'\ e^{-\alpha' k'^2} e^{ik'x'} \\ &= e^{i[k_0x-\omega(k_0)t]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha+i\beta t}}\ e^{-(x-v_gt)^2/[4(\alpha+i\beta t)]}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Again, we consider the square moduli of $g(k)$ and of $F(x,t)$ as in Eq. (2.4),

$$|g(k)|^2 = e^{-2\alpha(k-k_0)^2}, \qquad |F(x,t)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2t^2}}\, e^{-\alpha(x-v_gt)^2/\left[2\left(\alpha^2+\beta^2t^2\right)\right]}. \tag{2.12}$$

One recognizes Gaussian signals both in k–space and in x–space. The peak of the Gaussian signal in x is located at $x = v_gt$, and thus it travels in the x–direction with the constant group velocity, v_g.

The width of the Gaussian signal in k–space is obviously $\Delta k = 2/\sqrt{2\alpha}$, while the width of the Gaussian signal in x–space is now a function of time,

$$\Delta x = 2\sqrt{2\alpha}\,\sqrt{1+\frac{\beta^2t^2}{\alpha^2}}. \tag{2.13}$$

The width of the Gaussian signal in x–space increases in time. This means that the time evolution of the wave packet implies a spreading in x–space with a decreasing value at the peak position, $x = v_gt$. The latter feature can be easily inferred from Eq. (2.12).



Figure 2: Spreading of the wave packet in x–space

3 Convective to absolute instability

When wave packets are used to model perturbations in a linear stability analysis, the time evolution is modulated by an additional time-dependent factor in the amplitude. This effect might lead to instability if the time evolution is such that the spreading of the wave packet is accompanied by a growth in amplitude. Stability, on the contrary arises when the time evolution is such that the spreading of the wave packet is accompanied by a decrease in amplitude.

3.1 Temporal and spatial analyses of stability

This modification in the evolution of wave packets with respect to what has been described in the preceding section is usually accounted for by reconsidering the normal modes used in Eq. (2.6). Now, the modes packed to form the perturbation $F(x,t)$ are given by

$$\psi(x,t) = \hat{\psi}\; e^{i(kx-\omega t)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where, now, $k = k_r + ik_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Two main cases are usually studied.

(1) *Temporal stability analysis* ($k_i = 0$, or $k \in \mathbb{R}$). It is the classical basis for a linear stability

analysis. This choice is suitable for the determination of the marginal stability condition and of the critical value of the order parameter for the transition to convective instability.

(2) *Spatial stability analysis* ($\omega_i = 0, \text{or } \omega \in \mathbb{R}$). It is the choice to be preferred for the determination of the transition from convective to absolute instability and of the threshold value of the order parameter for the transition to absolute instability. The role of k_i is to establish if the normal mode grows when $x \to +\infty$, i.e. when $k_i < 0$, or if it grows when $x \to -\infty$, i.e. when $k_i > 0$. The case $k_i = 0$ describes a plane wave with constant and uniform amplitude.

3.2 Convective versus absolute nature of instability



Figure 3: Behaviour of wave packet perturbations under stability, convective instability, or absolute instability conditions

Having anticipated the terms "convective instability" and "absolute instability", it is time to define them properly.

The evolution of a perturbation wave packet can be described according to different inertial reference frames. Each reference frame corresponds to a ray,

$$\frac{x}{t} = constant,$$

where the constant can be any real value. Strictly speaking, all rays are equivalent but, for practical reasons, the ray $x/t = 0$ is special as it models a measurement device in a laboratory, i.e. the ray $x/t = 0$ defines the laboratory reference frame.

Let $F(x,t)$ be an arbitrary wave packet describing a localised perturbation of the basic flow. We may have three conditions:

Linear stability

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |F(x,t)|^2 = 0, \quad \text{along every ray} \quad \frac{x}{t} = constant. \tag{3.2}$$

Convective instability

There exists at least one ray

$$\frac{x}{t} = constant, \quad \text{such that} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} |F(x,t)|^2 = \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Absolute instability

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |F(x,t)|^2 = \infty, \quad \text{along the ray} \quad \frac{x}{t} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

An illustration of what Eqs. (3.2)–(3.4) define is given in Fig. 3. We can say that a convectively unstable basic flow amplifies a given perturbation and convects it away, so that the time growth of the amplitude is not actually observed in the laboratory reference frame. On the other hand, an absolutely unstable flow is amplified in place, and its unbounded amplitude growth is measurable in the laboratory reference frame, i.e. along the ray $x/t = 0$.

3.3 Rays and group velocity

We learned in Subsection 2.3 that the peak of a localised wave packet travels along the x–axis with a speed given by the group velocity,

$$v_g = \left.\frac{d\omega}{dk}\right|_{k=k_0}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, an important ray for judging the stable or unstable behaviour of the wave packet is

$$\frac{x}{t} = v_g. \tag{3.6}$$

If we now refer to the general normal modes given by Eq. (3.1) under a temporal stability analysis, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i \in \mathbb{C}$, we are ready to express a sufficient condition for absolute instability:

$$v_g = \left.\frac{d\omega_r}{dk}\right|_{k=k_0} = 0, \qquad \omega_i(k_0) > 0, \tag{3.7}$$

where ω_i describes either an exponential time growth or damping depending on its sign. If k_0 satisfies Eq. (3.7), then $\omega_i(k_0)$ is termed *absolute growth rate*.

3.4 Absolute instability and the spatial modes

In a linear stability analysis, the perturbation $F(x,t)$ must be a solution of a linear differential equation,

$$\mathcal{D}\Big(-i\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\; i\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big)F(x,t) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

On claiming the validity of Eq. (3.8) for the normal modes Eq. (3.1), one is lead to the *dispersion relation*,

$$\mathcal{D}(k,\omega) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

The dispersion relation may be studied for the spatial modes, with $k = k_r + ik_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, Eq. (3.9) is a complex-valued equation that yields two real equations, one for the vanishing real part of the left hand side, and one for the vanishing imaginary part of the left hand side. The former equation generally does not contain ω when the governing equation of fluid flow is of first-order in time. This is the case for Newtonian and non-Newtonian purely viscous or viscoplastic fluids, while this condition does not apply in the case of viscoelasticity. Hence, one may write

$$\mathfrak{Re}\{\mathcal{D}(k,\omega)\} = G(k_r, k_i) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Further governing parameters may appear in Eq. (3.10) not explicitly mentioned, say the Reynolds number or the Rayleigh number. Thus, on varying these parameters, one may figure out Eq. (3.10) as the equation of a bundle of curves in the (k_r, k_i)–plane. When the governing parameters define a condition of stability, the curve given by Eq. (3.10) generally features two disconnected branches: one entirely laying in the half-plane $k_i > 0$, and one entirely laying in the half plane $k_i < 0$. The former branch defines spatial modes unboundedly growing upstream, i.e. in the limit $x \to -\infty$, the latter branch is made up of spatial modes exponentially growing downstream. When one of the two branches becomes tangent to the line $k_i = 0$, this marks the onset of convective instability and k_r becomes equal to the critical wave number, k_c. A possibility is that the onset of convective instability takes place with the two disconnected branches merging at a point on the line $k_i = 0$, called the *pinching point*. If this the case, the onset of convective instability is also the onset of absolute instability, i.e. the two conditions coincide.

In general, convective instability and absolute instability are distinct conditions. As a consequence, the pinching point for the two branches of spatial modes in the (k_r, k_i)–plane occurs either in the half plane $k_i > 0$ or in the plane $k_i < 0$. In any case, it is the merging of the two branches that defines the onset of absolute instability. In fact, the mixing up of modes growing both upstream and downstream suggests that the disturbance is undamped and is not swept away by the basic flow. The pinching point in the (k_r, k_i)–plane defines a condition of vanishing group velocity. The exact value of the governing parameters that leads to the transition to absolute instability, and to the pinching point for the two branches in the (k_r, k_i)–plane, can be in fact determined by solving

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}(k,\omega) = 0, \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\mathcal{D}(k,\omega) = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{d\omega}{dk} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

4 A neat example: Burgers' equation

A very simple example to test the description provided in the preceding section is given by the stability analysis of the one-dimensional Burgers' equation,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U\,\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + F(U), \tag{4.1}$$



Figure 4: Temporal modes: transition from stability to convective instability

where we assume a linear U–dependent force term,

$$F(U) = R\,(U - U_0)\,, \qquad R \in \mathbb{R}, \quad U_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.2}$$

Evidently $U = U_0$ is a basic solution of Eq. (4.1), whatever is the value of the real constant U_0.

4.1 The dispersion relation

A linear stability analysis of this constant solution, $U = U_0$, is carried out by perturbing it, namely by substituting

$$U = U_0 + \varepsilon\, u, \qquad \varepsilon > 0 \tag{4.3}$$

into Eq. (4.1) and by taking into account Eq. (4.2),

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U_0\, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \varepsilon\, u\, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + R\, u. \tag{4.4}$$

Here, ε is a perturbation parameter such that $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Then, Eq. (4.4) is linearised to yield

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + U_0\, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + R\, u. \tag{4.5}$$

We now substitute the normal modes Eq. (3.1) into Eq. (4.5), so that one is lead to the dispersion relation,

$$\mathcal{D}(k, \omega) = -\,i\,(\omega - U_0 k) + k^2 - R = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

4.2 Temporal modes

If we perform a temporal stability analysis, then $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i \in \mathbb{C}$. We separate the real from the imaginary part in Eq. (4.6), so that we get

$$R = k^2 + \omega_i, \tag{4.7a}$$

$$\omega_r = U_0 k. \tag{4.7b}$$

The meaning of Eqs. (4.7) is the following. Time growing disturbances ($\omega_i > 0$) are possible only if $R > k^2$. If $R < k^2$, all disturbances are damped in their time evolution ($\omega_i < 0$). The marginal stability condition is simply

$$R = k^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, the critical values of k and R for the onset of convective instability are obtained from minimising R with respect to k in Eq. (4.8),

$$k_c = 0, \quad R_c = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

This is the information that one can gather from Eq. (4.7a). A sketch of the transition from stability to convective instability in the plane (k, R) is given in Fig. 4. On the other hand, Eq. (4.7b) makes it evident that a localised wave packet of temporal modes has a group velocity

$$\frac{d\omega_r}{dk} = U_0. \tag{4.10}$$

and no spreading, since $d^2\omega/dk_r^2 = 0$. Then, the exponential time growth of the perturbation is possible only along the ray

$$\frac{x}{t} = U_0. \tag{4.11}$$

As a consequence, restriction of the analysis to temporal modes reveals the transition to convective instability, but does not allow to detect any possible condition of absolute instability, unless $U_0 = 0$. In this special case, the basic solution evolves directly from stability to absolute instability.

4.3 Spatial modes

In order to check if absolute instability is possible with $U_0 \neq 0$, we carry out a spatial stability analysis, then $k = k_r + ik_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Separation of the real part from the imaginary part in Eq. (4.6) yields

$$k_r^2 - k_i^2 - U_0 k_i - R = 0, \tag{4.12a}$$

$$\omega - U_0 k_r - 2k_r k_i = 0. \tag{4.12b}$$

Eq. (4.12a) can be rewritten as

$$k_r^2 - \left(k_i + \frac{U_0}{2}\right)^2 = R - \frac{U_0^2}{4}. \tag{4.13}$$

In this form, it is evident that Eq. (4.13) describes a family of hyperbolae in the (k_r, k_i)–plane with asymptotes given by

$$k_r - k_i - \frac{U_0}{2} = 0, \qquad k_r + k_i + \frac{U_0}{2} = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

A sketch of these hyperbolae for a fixed $U_0 > 0$ and varying R is provided in Fig. 5. The axis of each hyperbola is horizontal when $R < U_0^2/4$, namely $k_i = -U_0/2$, and vertical when $R > U_0^2/4$, namely $k_r = 0$. If $R < 0$, one branch of the hyperbola lies entirely in the upper half of the (k_r, k_i)–plane, i.e. $k_i > 0$, while the other branch lies in the lower half of the (k_r, k_i)–plane, i.e. $k_i < 0$. If $R = 0$, the upper branch has a minimum coincident with the origin $(k_r = 0, k_i = 0)$, and thus



Figure 5: Spatial modes: transition from stability to convective and absolute instability (with $U_0 > 0$)

is tangent to the k_r–axis. This threshold, $R = 0$, defines the critical value of R, Eq. (4.9), and the onset of convective instability. For $R < R_c = 0$ we have two disconnected branches of modes, one growing downstream but damped upstream ($k_i < 0$), and one growing upstream but damped downstream ($k_i > 0$).

When the convective instability initiates, i.e. for $R > R_c = 0$, the upper branch crosses the k_r–axis thus including modes which grow downstream, while the lower branch raises upwards as R increases. When $R = U_0^2/4$, the two branches merge at the pinching point ($k_r = 0, k_i = -U_0/2$) and the hyperbola degenerates into a pair of straight lines given by Eq. (4.14). Eventually, when $R > U_0^2/4$, each branch of the hyperbola which now has a vertical axis ($k_r = 0$) includes all the possible positive or negative spatial growth rates k_i, thus determining absolute instability.

We can write explicitly the threshold condition of absolute instability as

$$k = k_a = -\,i\,\frac{U_0}{2}, \qquad \omega = \omega_a = 0, \qquad R = R_a = \frac{U_0^2}{4}, \tag{4.15}$$

where the value of ω_a is determined from Eq. (4.12b).

The group velocity $v_g = d\omega/dk$ for spatial modes with given R and U_0 can be determined directly from Eq. (4.6),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\,\mathcal{D}(k,\omega) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad i\left(\frac{d\omega}{dk} - U_0\right) - 2k = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

We immediately infer from Eq. (4.16) that $v_g = 0$ yields

$$k = k_a = -\,i\,\frac{U_0}{2}. \tag{4.17}$$

Thus, the condition $v_g = 0$ yields the pinching point in the (k_r, k_i)–plane defined by Eq. (4.15).

5 Why spatial modes are necessary?

The analysis of the sample case proposed in Section 4 might give the impression that the temporal stability analysis and the spatial stability analysis give discrepant results relative to the transition to absolute instability. This impression could be the source of doubts about the correct path for a reliable analysis of this matter.

5.1 General perturbations

The first point is that the temporal modes are suitable to model a wave packet expressed as

$$F(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{F}(k,t)\; e^{ikx}\; dk. \tag{5.1}$$

We note that Eq. (5.1) can be written whenever $F(x,t)$ satisfies the conditions for the existence of the Fourier transform, namely of the integral

$$\tilde{F}(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x,t)\; e^{-ikx}\; dx. \tag{5.2}$$

In general, the Fourier integral defined by Eq. (5.2) does not exist, even according to the theory of distributions or generalised functions, if the given $F(x,t)$ is such that

$$\lim_{x \to \pm\,\infty} |F(x,t)| = \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Thus, the point is: do we really need to envisage perturbations of the base flow state described by wave packets which satisfy Eq. (5.3)? The answer is positive, as the perturbation wave packet does not have necessarily its source in a position close to the measurement station. The perturbation may be originated very far upstream or downstream, so that the distance to be covered by the wave packet along the x–direction to reach the measurement probe can be very large. Thus, an unstable perturbation wave packet might reach the probe when the amplitude at its source position is so large as to be considered infinite. This is the physical meaning of the condition expressed by Eq. (5.3), and this is the reason why the set of temporal normal modes is too tiny to include all possible disturbances acting on a base flow.

5.2 From the Fourier integral to the Laplace integral

Now, we have a mathematical problem. We must replace Eq. (5.1) with an integral expression compatible with Eq. (5.3). Unfortunately, we cannot achieve this task if we do not set some restrictions. Actually, we substitute Eq. (5.3) with a much weaker condition, namely

$$\lim_{x \to \pm\,\infty} e^{-c|x|} |F(x,t)| = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad c \in \mathbb{R},\; c > 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Therefore, Eq. (5.1) can be replaced by

$$F(x,t) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{-\infty - i\delta}^{\infty - i\delta} \tilde{F}^{(-)}(k,t)\; e^{-ikx}\; dk, & x < 0, \\[2ex] \displaystyle\int_{-\infty - i\gamma}^{\infty - i\gamma} \tilde{F}^{(+)}(k,t)\; e^{ikx}\; dk, & x \geqslant 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

In Eq. (5.5), we denoted with δ and γ the lower bounds of all the possible values of c allowing Eq. (5.4) to be satisfied for either $x < 0$ or $x \geqslant 0$. Equation (5.5) expresses $F(x,t)$ as a Laplace transform, where the Laplace variable is either $s = -ik$, when $x < 0$, or $s = ik$, when $x \geqslant 0$.

6 Conclusions

This short report was aimed to propose a conceptual description of the transition from convective to absolute instability, as well as to give a reasonable and simple explanation of the need to go beyond the usual temporal stability analysis. The use of spatially growing modes along the direction of the base flow allows one to detect features of the instability that possibly remain hidden in the usual temporal stability approach. The latter is in fact perfectly effective when dealing with the transition from linear stability to convective instability, i.e. with the determination of the marginal stability condition and of the critical values of the governing parameters. On the other hand, temporal modes may prove to be ineffective in detecting the transition from convective to absolute instability. This possibility may be ascribed to those cases where the unstable behaviour, as detected in the laboratory reference frame, is activated preferably by perturbations whose source is localised far away from the measurement station. In order to establish these basic facts, the linear stability analysis of a toy flow system, governed by the one-dimensional Burgers' equation, was employed to provide an illustrative case study.

References

[1] K. B. Dysthe, "Convective and absolute instability," *Nuclear Fusion*, vol. 6, pp. 215–222, 1966.

[2] M. Gaster, "Growth of disturbances in both space and time," *Physics of Fluids*, vol. 11, pp. 723–727, 1968.

[3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Fluid Mechanics*. Oxford, UK: Pergamon, 2 ed., 1987.

[4] P. Huerre and P. Monkewitz, "Absolute and convective instabilities in free shear layers," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 159, pp. 151–168, 1985.

[5] P. A. Monkewitz, "The role of absolute and convective instability in predicting the behavior of fluid systems," *European Journal of Mechanics B - Fluids*, vol. 9, pp. 395–413, 1990.

[6] L. Brevdo, "Three-dimensional absolute and convective instabilities, and spatially amplifying waves in parallel shear flows," *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, vol. 42, pp. 911–942, 1991.

[7] J. M. Chomaz, "Absolute and convective instabilities in nonlinear systems," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 69, no. 1931-1934, 1992.

[8] P. Carrière and P. A. Monkewitz, "Convective versus absolute instability in mixed Rayleigh-Bénard-Poiseuille convection," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 384, pp. 243–262, 1999.

[9] A. Delache, M. N. Ouarzazi, and M. Combarnous, "Spatio-temporal stability analysis of mixed convection flows in porous media heated from below: Comparison with experiments," *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 50, pp. 1485–1499, 2007.

[10] P. Huerre and P. A. Monkewitz, "Local and global instabilities in spatially developing flows," *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 22, pp. 473–537, 1990.

[11] P. Huerre, "Open shear flow instabilities," in *Perspectives in Fluid Dynamics: A Collective Introduction to Current Research* (M. G. W. G. K. Batchelor, H. K. Moffatt, ed.), ch. 4, pp. 159–229, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[12] W. O. Criminale, T. L. Jackson, and R. D. Joslin, *Theory and Computation of Hydrodynamic Stability*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[13] P. G. Drazin and W. H. Reid, *Hydrodynamic Stability*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2 ed., 2004.

[14] F. Charru, *Hydrodynamic Instabilities*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[15] L. Ó Náraigh and P. D. M. Spelt, "An analytical connection between temporal and spatio-temporal growth rates in linear stability analysis," *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, vol. 469, no. 20130171, 2013.